Identification of Opinion Difference in Teaching Learning Methods and Recommendation to Faculty

Dr. D. Anitha¹, Dr. D. Kavitha², Dr. R. Rajan Prakash³, Dr. S. Charles Raja⁴

¹Assistant Professor, Dept. of Computer Applications, Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai - 625015

Abstract:

Today's teaching learning process in education comprises variety of tools and techniques. A large number of active learning strategies are identified to make the student active and engaging. In spite of these developments, conventional style of passive teaching also exists. Research reviews ensure the fact that the practice of the active learning strategies engage the students positively and promote their performance. There is a concern whether the strategies are practiced rightly and always been a success. The inclusion of active learning strategies by the teachers is always made with the assumption that all the students enjoy and get engaged in learning. Is it the truth really? This research work attempts to find the difference in the perspectives of students and teachers in practicing different active learning methodologies. Few commonly used teaching learning strategies are identified, practiced and reviewed by a set of teachers and the students. The analysis of the obtained data reveals the existence of gap between their perspectives and indicates the need of adopting suitable pedagogy in implementing those strategies.

Keywords:

Teaching learning, Teacher perspective, Student perspective, Useful learning, Enjoyable learning

1. Introduction

With the millennial learners, Teaching is not an easy task now. There are rapid developments in the field of Education in terms of teaching learning processes. Lectures and black board teaching were the predominant teaching tools in the older days. Home works and written assignments were the important parts of the process. But now, after the developments in the teaching learning process, there are new jargons in education such as active learning, collaborative learning and cooperative learning. Active learning, a set of prescribed teaching learning strategies, has been recognized globally as a teaching learning method that engages students and makes learning enjoyable.

Corresponding Author

Dr. D. Kavitha, Assistant Professor, Dept. of EEE, Thiagarajar College of Engineering.

The learning sessions carried out with these strategies are said to be impacting student performance and engagement level. Most of the educational institutions have started supporting their faculty by giving training in these methods and insisting them to follow in their classroom. In India, National level programmes such NMEICT have been conducting special hands on training for teachers across India on these strategies. ICT tools have been introduced to associate with these active learning methods for providing digital support. Learning Management Systems, Student responsive systems and digital repositories are part of modern education.

The present teachers are now required to develop not only their technical skills but also their pedagogical skills. When practicing these strategies, there are some common assumptions among teachers. When teachers get trained in these innovative teaching learning methods and start practicing inside the classroom, they assume to get the attention of 100% of their students. They strongly believe that the strategies they follow have nearly 100% positive impact on the students [1][2]. Also, there is some common belief among them that some teaching learning methods are not useful to the students. A teacher may be comfortable and strong in practicing a teaching learning method but the concern here is whether the students feel comfortable in practicing it[5]. This concern leads to this research as "Do all the students experience the same and find those methods useful?". Also this research provides insights about the preferred teaching learning methods of students and thus bridging the gap between assumptions and reality.

2. Research Questions

The proposed research work is carried out with the following Research questions.

- 1. Teachers may follow any teaching learning method based on their personal assumptions. Do these assumptions match the student expectations?
- 2. What are the suitable recommendations to address the perspective gap between students and teachers in conventional teaching learning methods and modern teaching learning methods?

^{2,3,4}Assistant Professor, Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engg., Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai - 625015 ¹anithad@tce.edu

²dkavitha@tce.edu

3. Methods and materials

The method of data analysis is a survey which includes questions on various teaching learning methods under three point likert scale and also descriptive responses [3][4]. The experiment has been conducted in an autonomous engineering institution. Out of 150 teachers who have been trained in active learning strategies in the institution 44 teachers participated in the survey. Out of 900 graduating students 190 undergraduate students and 55 post graduate students have participated in the survey. The survey aims to find the usefulness of teaching learning methods and the level of enjoyment that they instil. Five conventional methods and five modern methods have been chosen as listed in Table 1. The students and teachers are familiar with these methods and have used them for at least a semester period.

Table 1. List of teaching learning methods chosen for the experiment

Conventional teaching	Modern teaching learning
learning method	method
Black board teaching (BB)	Think Pair Share (TPS)
Power point presentations (PP)	Flipped Classrooms(FC)
Group discussion inside class	Online discussions (OD)
(GD)	
Written assignments(WA)	Online assignments(OA)
Student seminars(SS)	Student role plays (RP)

An online questionnaire has been used to collect data from the teachers and the students who are willing to participate in the survey. Figure 1 & 2 displays a part of the questionnaire. The questionnaire is developed with the intention of finding the usefulness and enjoyable nature of these methods and hence the questionnaire directly addresses these two parameters. 3-point likert scale has been used in the questionnaire. If the students are not familiar with any of the teaching learning method, they can choose "Activity Not done". These responses are added to the count of neutral responses.

Teacher Feedback on various teaching learning Activities

Dear teachers, you have come across different teaching / learning strategies in all these years. This is to know the effect of various teaching learning activities.

4. Match the teaching activity with student learning *

Check all that apply

	Useful in learning	Neutral	Useless in learning	Activity not done
Teaching with games				
Think - Pair - share				
Regular Black board teaching				
PPT presentation - lecture				
Class tests / one minute papers / Quiz				
Demonstrations using hardware				
Demonstrations using software				
Collaborative learning				
Rubrics based evaluation				
Flipped Classroom				

Figure 1. Survey Questionnaire for teachers

Feedback on various teaching learning Activities

Dear students, you may come across different teaching / learning strategies in all these semesters. This is to know the effect of various teaching learning activities

4. Have you enjoyed the following? *

	Enjoyable	Neutral	Not enjoyable	Activity not done in any course
Games inside the class to learn certain topics				
Interacting with friends				
Online Discussion				
Submission of online assignments				
Peer assessment/Evaluating the friend				
Role play/ stage activities				
Think - Pair - share with friends				
Written assignments and home works				
CAT exams				
Terminal exams				
Regular Black board teaching				
PPT presentation - lecture				
Class tests / one minute papers /				

Figure 2. Survey Questionnaire for students

After collecting the responses a statistical study is performed in analysing the obtained data. Comparison of responses of teachers and students for each method has been represented in terms of percentage. Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test has been used with the null hypothesis of "There is no significant difference in the opinion of students and teachers". This test has been applied to the responses about individual teaching learning method. As both the groups are independent and the responses are ordinal with 3-point likert scale, two - tailed Mann Whitney test has been selected for accepting or rejecting the null hypothesis with the p-value of 0.05. This test answers to the Research question 1. Based on further observations in obtained data, perspective gap in few teaching learning methods are analysed and suitable methods are recommended to bridge the gap. This stands as an answer to Research question 2.

4. Results & Discussion:

From the responses obtained from the participant groups, the results are presented in this section. As there are two parameters (usefulness, enjoyable nature) addressed in this research work, Table 2 tabulates the result obtained for various teaching learning methods with the percentage of participants who have declared that a particular teaching learning method is useful.

Table 2. Percentage of participants positively agreed upon the usefulness of the method

usefulness of the method				
Teaching learning method	Teachers	% of Undergraduat e students	% of Postgraduat e students	% of All student s
BB	45.45	62.16	47.73	54.32
PP	63.63	40.54	45.45	43.21
GD	45.45	58.33	75	66.67
WA	18.18	64.86	20	44.78
SS	72.72	55	56.41	55.71
TPS	81.82	81.08	56.82	67.90
FC	45.45	46	46.51	46.25
OD	72.73	58.33	46.88	52.94
OA	63.63	62.86	56.41	59.46
RP	54.54	69.44	63.64	66.25



Table 3 presents the percentage of students who have found that the particular teaching method is not useful. There is an interesting observation that none of the teaching method was stated as useless by the teachers. Few recommendations to the faculty proposed in this work are based on the data presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Percentage of student participants disagreed upon the usefulness of the method

Teaching learning method	% of Undergraduate students	% of Postgraduate students
BB	1.2	0
PP	3.7	0
GD	0	0
WA	4.4	0
SS	0	0
TPS	0	0
FC	0	0
OD	2.7	0
OA	0	0
RP	0	0

Table 4 depict the perspectives of teachers and students on the enjoyable nature of the method anticipated among students for the tasks assigned to students.

Table 4. Percentage of participants positively agreed upon the enjoyable nature of the method

Teaching learning method	Teachers	Undergraduate students	Postgraduate students	All students
BB	27.27	32.43	25	28.39
PP	63.63	40.54	42.5	41.56
GD	63.63	67.57	62.79	65
WA	27.27	29.73	9.75	19.23
OA & OD	54.54	56.76	29.55	39.51
Homework	27.27	29.73	36.36	33.33
RP& TPS	63.63	67.57	62.79	65

Table 5 & 6 show the results of Mann-Whitney test in each of the teaching learning method with respect to two parameters: Usefulness and Enjoyable nature comparing the responses of teachers and students.

Table 5. Mann-Whitney test results for usefulness of the methods

Method	p-value
PP	0.00112
GD	0.01242
SS	0.00672
OD	0.09894
TPS	0.12114
WA	0 .17068
OA	0.17384
RP	0.30302
BB	0 .37346
FC	0.88866

Teaching learning methods are listed in the increasing order of p-value in Table 5. From Table 5, it is observed that Power point presentation, Group discussion and Student seminars have p-values lesser than 0.5 and hence indicating there is significant difference in the opinion of students versus teachers. The p-values of other methods are greater than 0.05. So, the null hypothesis is accepted to state there is no significant difference in the opinion of teachers and students in terms of usefulness of these teaching learning methods. Recommendations to the faculty shall be made based on these observations. A similar representation is followed in Table 6 for the parameter 'Enjoyable nature'. Homework has been added to find whether it is enjoyable one for the students. From table 6, it is observed that there is a significant difference in opinions for the methods Homework, Online discussion, Online assignments and Power point presentations in terms of enjoyable nature. Teachers has a presumption that home works are not very much enjoyed by the students which is not so in the minds of students. Also teachers perceive online work as enjoyable one for the students which is not received as such by the students. A similar interpretation exists for power point presentations also.

Table 6. Mann-Whitney test results for enjoyable nature of the methods

Method	p-value
Home works	0.02926
OD	.0114
OA	.0198
PP	0.0271
WA	.41794
BB	0.80258
RP, TPS	0.8181

Apart from the likert scale questionnaire, open feedback is asked from the students as well as faculty[3][4]. In teacher's feedback, the following statements are found to be important

- ➤ Most of the present day students are addicted to mobile phones and not interested in reading books
- ➤ If Flipped classroom strategy is given, students are not doing out of class activity.
- > It takes long time to plan for collaborative and active learning classes

In student feedback, the following statements are found to be important

- ➤ Only few faculty are trying active learning strategies which is actually beneficial to them
- Collaborative learning activities are very low and mostly happened only when doing projects
- > Some faculty are technically strong but their pedagogy is not good
- Sometimes active strategies provided are too much boring due to inappropriate planning

By observing the results obtained from Tables 2 to 6 and the open responses collected from students and teachers, few interesting facts and recommendations have been



derived and tabulated in Table 7.

Table 7. Facts and Recommendations to faculty

Table 7. Facts and Recommendations to faculty			
Teaching	Facts	Recommendations	
method		200	
Black	Teachers & PG	Blackboard teaching	
board	students have found	is even now	
teaching	less usefulness of	indispensable	
	blackboard teaching.	especially for	
	However, Under	students entering	
	Graduate(UG)	higher education.	
	students recognize	Hence, practice of	
	the need of	black board teaching	
	blackboard teaching	can be followed.	
Power	Teachers regarded as	Power point	
point	a useful tool	presentations backed	
presentatio	Students regarded	up with effective	
ns	that they are not as	pedagogy and	
	useful and not	student responsive	
	enjoyable.	systems shall be	
		followed	
Group	Teachers:	Group discussion	
discussion	Underestimated the	shall be used as an	
inside	usefulness of group	effective tool with a	
class	discussion.	good plan to achieve	
	Students: PG students	clear outcomes	
	welcome the practice	especially in small	
	_	groups	
Student	Teachers: regarded	Seminars shall be	
seminars	seminar as a very	taken with proper	
	useful tool.	guidelines and there	
	Students: They have	should be	
	given only a	assessments for each	
	moderate preference	seminar to ensure	
	to seminars.	learning.	
Online	Teachers have	Focused work shall	
discussion	assumed that this	be given considering	
and	method is enjoyable	the work load of	
assignmen	for the students as	students. Technology	
ts	realized in a research	which is familiar to	
	work [6]. But the	students shall be	
	students differ in	used	
	opinion.		
Homewor	Inspite of teachers'	Regular works shall	
ks	thought that they are	be allotted to	
	not enjoyable,	students. Faculty	
	students like to have	team shall work	
	homeworks	together to avoid	
		overloading	
Written	Neither the teachers	Written assignments	
Assignme	nor the PG students	may be given	
nts	are not very much	occasionally and	
1103	favour of this	may involve problem	
	iavoui oi uno	solving	
Think Pair	Teachers: regarded	As there are enough	
Share	_	evidences that TPS	
Share	this as a very useful tool		
	Students: UG	brings engaged	
		learning, practice of	
	students reflected the	TPS with relevant	

same view of	content is to be
teachers, but not the	made. Training may
PG students	be required to
	faculty.

5. Conclusion

This research work is carried out to bring out the opinion differences between students and teachers on the most commonly used teaching learning methods. A survey based data collection is made and data analysis is done with statistical methods. Based on the analysis, it is observed that there is some opinion difference between teachers and students on few teaching learning methods. Specific recommendations based on the analysis are provided taking into account the responses of students and teachers. Few general recommendations based on open feedback are as follows:

- 1. Continuous improvement in implementation of Active learning strategies based on the effectiveness study need to be exercised.
- 2. The usefulness of black board and home works cannot be underestimated.

The work may serve the purpose of providing awareness among teachers to revisit their current teaching learning methods and make improvements based on student needs. The future work may involve the analysis of the impact of ICT tools in education.

References

- [1] Bhosale, Uma A., Radha Yegnanarayan, and Gauri E. Yadav. (2013) Attitude, perception and feedback of second year medical students on teaching—learning methodology and evaluation methods in pharmacology: A questionnaire-based study, Nigerian medical journal: journal of the Nigeria Medical Association, 54(1), 33.
- [2] Murray, Kate, and Ranald Macdonald. (1997) The disjunction between lecturers' conceptions of teaching and their claimed educational practice, Higher Education, 33(3), 331-349.
- [3] Quinton, Sarah, and Teresa Smallbone. (2010) Feeding forward: using feedback to promote student reflection and learning—a teaching model, Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 47(1), 125-135.
- [4] Rodgers, Carol R. (2006) Attending to student voice: The impact of descriptive feedback on learning and teaching, Curriculum Inquiry, 36(2),209-237.
- [5] Han, Jae Hoon, and Adam Finkelstein.(2013) Understanding the effects of professors' pedagogical development with Clicker Assessment and Feedback technologies and the impact on students' engagement and learning in higher education, Computers & Education, 65, 64-76.
- [6] Wahab, Rabab A., and Sameera Al-Alaiwat.(2015) Student's Attitudes towards Online Assignment Submission at College of Health Sciences, University of Bahrain, Fifth International Conference on e-Learning (econf), IEEE.

